THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

W.P. No. 13037 of 2006

Order:

It is the case of the petitioner that his actual date of birth is 04.07.1952, but the respondent-company has wrongly entered the same in his Service Register, as 01.07.1948. The petitioner states that in 2002 on coming to know that his date of birth was wrongly entered, he produced date of birth certificate issued by the Mandal Relvenue Officer, Gonegandla, showing his date of birth as 04.07.1952, and requested the respondent-Company to correct his date of birth in his Service Register, but the respondent-company, without passing any orders thereon, passed the impugned order, rejecting the claim for alteration of his date of birth. Aggrieved by the same, the petitioner filed the present writ petition.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for the respondent-company and perused the impugned order.

The contention of the petitioner that his actual date of birth is 04.07.1952 and not 01.07.1948, as entered in his Service Register, cannot be accepted. The petitioner joined the service of the respondent-company in 1986, and though he continued as such all these years, at no point of time, he disputed his date of birth. At the fag end of his service, he filed the present writ petition seeking alteration of his date of birth. Though the petitioner contends that he came to know that his date of birth was wrongly entered in the Service Register in the year 2002, and that he made representation for alteration of his date of birth to the respondent-company, the fact remains, the petitioner made

no such representation. In fact, a perusal of the order impugned in the writ petition would disclose that the respondents on 28.05.2005 informed the petitioner that he would be retired from service on 30.05.2006. The petitioner in spite of knowing that he would be retired on 30.05.2006 did not make any representation immediately for alteration of his date of birth. And in fact, the impugned order shows that the petitioner made representation for alteration of his date of birth on 11.05.2006 i.e. twenty days before his retirement, and not as contended by him in the year 2002, and the respondent-company having considered the same on the basis of the record available with them, rejected the request of the petitioner for correction of his date of birth, and no exception can be taken thereto.

The writ petition is devoid of merits and the same is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

_____

N.V. RAMANA, J.

Date: 6th July, 2006
KSR